Zoosyst. Evol. 91 (2) 2015, 191–197 | DOI 10.3897/zse.91.5463

PENSOFT. museum für naturkunde

A quadriocellar scoliid wasp (Hymenoptera, Scoliidae) from Mallorca, with a brief account of supernumerary ocelli in insects

Volker Lohrmann[1,2]; Michael S. Engel[3,4]

1 *Übersee-Museum Bremen, Bahnhofsplatz 13, D-28195 Bremen, Germany*
2 *Museum für Naturkunde, Leibniz-Institut für Evolutions- und Biodiversitätsforschung, Invalidenstraße 43, D-10115 Berlin, Germany*
3 *Division of Invertebrate Zoology, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York, New York 10024-5192, USA*
4 *Division of Entomology, Natural History Museum, and Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, 1501 Crestline Drive – Suite 140, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045-4415, USA*

http://zoobank.org/87ACD2AF-0EEE-4DC9-96FA-06E833644C97

Corresponding author: *Volker Lohrmann* (v.lohrmann@uebersee-museum.de)

Received 18 June 2015
Accepted 26 August 2015
Published 11 September 2015

Academic editor:
Michael Ohl

Abstract

A remarkable teratological female of *Megascolia* (*Regiscolia*) *maculata flavifrons* (Fabricius, 1775) (Scoliidae: Scoliinae: Scoliini) with a supernumerary median ocellus is described and illustrated. While supernumerary ocelli have been reported before from Diptera, Orthoptera, and Hymenoptera, this is the first record of such a malformation from a scoliid wasp. Four other teratological scoliid wasps have been reported in the literature but all were gynandromorphs. A brief summary of known records of supernumerary ocelli among insects is provided.

Key Words

Median ocellus
twin ocellus
binary anterior ocelli
para-median ocelli
teratology
aberration
malformation
morphology

Introduction

According to Nichols (1989) teratology is the "study of structural abnormalities, especially monstrosities and malformations." Most accounts of teratology in the literature derive from experimental manipulation of the developmental process, while naturally occurring malformations are less frequently reported. In the past, many of these malformations were often neglected or mentioned merely as footnotes. This is perhaps not surprising as most authors surely must have thought that no significant conclusions could be drawn from isolated aberrant individuals. Indeed, it is challenging to infer much from isolated cases (e.g., Glasgow 1925), but when teratologies are made known and eventually summed up with others, there exists a great potential for patterns to emerge and broader conclusions to be drawn. The description of individual cases serves to build up a larger data set from which explanatory hypotheses can be formulated, highlighting the great value of descriptive science (e.g., Grimaldi and Engel 2007). A great example is the occurrence of gynandromorphs among aculeates, where the gradual accumulation of often-isolated, published accounts over the last 125 years has amassed into a body of data sufficient for the exploration of generalized patterns (e.g., Wcislo et al. 2004, Michez et al. 2009, Hinojosa-Díaz et al. 2012), and has aided attempts to homologize traits across sexes (e.g., Michener 1944, Engel 2007). It is therefore worthwhile

to contribute to the accumulation of data on teratologies in the hopes that someday sufficient numbers will exist to permit more critical study.

There exists a relative abundance of reports concerning insects with partially fused antennomeres (e.g., Asiain and Márquez 2009, Popovici et al. 2014), or gynandromorphs (e.g., Hinojosa-Díaz et al. 2012), particularly as these relate to understanding more standard morphologies, such as whether a reduction in total antennomere count results from fusion or loss. Less often are other structural anomalies reported, such as supernumerary appendages or organs like legs (e.g., Cockayne 1937), antennae (e.g., Cockayne 1938), ocelli (e.g., Engel et al. 2014), or even compound eyes (e.g., Banerjee and Kevan 1959).

The first major review of teratologies among Hymenoptera was by Dalla Torre and Friese (1899) who focused their attention on gynandromorphs. Balazuc (1958), however, was the first to provide a comprehensive account of teratological Hymenoptera, including all kinds of malformations. Among the aculeate Hymenoptera, malformed specimens are exceptionally well reported for bees (Anthophila), with gynandromorphs alone reported for more than 110 species in 29 genera (Hinojosa-Díaz et al. 2012). With respect to Scoliidae, however, the number of peculiar malformed specimens reported in the literature is limited to four records of gynandromorphs (De Romand 1835, Krombein 1949, Wolf 1989, Osten 1993). In the course of sorting several boxes of unidentified scoliid wasps VL recognized a female of *Megascolia* (*Regiscolia*) *maculata flavifrons* (Fabricius 1775) with four ocelli (Figs 1, 2). The aim of the present contribution is to describe and illustrate this specimen and to provide a short account on supernumerary ocelli in pterygote insects.

Materials and methods

Measurements were taken using a Keyence VHX 5000 Digital Microscope. The morphological terminology for the description of the specimen is adopted from Betrem (1971). The photographs were captured with a Nikon D800 digital camera with a Nikon AF-S Micro-NIKKOR 60 mm 1:2,8G ED lens in combination with the software programs Helicon Remote, Adobe Lightroom und Helicon Focus Pro. The identification of the specimen, which is deposited in the entomological collection of the Übersee-Museum Bremen (UMB), is based on the key provided by Osten (2000).

Systematics

Megascolia (*Regiscolia*) *maculata flavifrons* (Fabricius, 1775)

'Quadriocellar Deformity'
Figs 1–4

Material. ♀; E [Spain], Mallorca, Finca bei Polença, 09. 06. 2010, leg. D. Pawelek (UMB).

Measurements. Total body length: 38.0 mm; head width: 7.0 mm; forewing length: 32.5 mm; hind wing length: 16.0 mm; mesoscutal width: 5.8 mm.

Descriptive notes. The female specimen, which seems to be normal in every other respect, has four ocelli instead of the three which is the common state in the family and generally so across Aculeata. In this specimen the posterior ocelli are normal in position, form, and size whereas the anterior ocellus is represented by two, perfectly-formed ocelli that are disposed symmetrically, one on each side of the fissura frontalis by which they are separated (Figs 3, 4). The two aberrant anterior ocelli, which are located together in a single ocellar depression, are of the same size (maximum diameter) as the posterior ocelli whereas the anterior ocellus is about 1.1 times larger than the posterior ocelli in normal individuals (Figs 5, 6). This specimen shows no other malformations nor any traces of stylopisation.

Comments. This particular subspecies is represented in the collection of the UMB by an additional 10 males and 17 females from Italy (Liguria, South Tyrol, Apulia, and Sardinia), Spain (Catalonia and Ibiza), and France (Corsica). None of them has been collected at the same locality as the above female, nor does any show a similar malformation. Scoliids are moderately diverse, with approximately 560 species in 143 genera (Aguiar et al. 2013), and are often robust and large insects such that if teratologies are discovered they should be readily spotted.

Discussion

Among the recorded wild forms of pterygote insects with supernumerary ocelli, two different kinds of teratology are known – those resulting from duplication of the anterior ocellus, such as reported here, or of the lateral ocelli (Table 1). Whereas most records report a supernumerary anterior ocellus, Engel et al. (2014) reported an augochlorine bee with five ocelli – a single median ocellus and two sets of posterior paired ocelli. The only other account of malformed posterior ocelli is that of Ashmead (1880) who described a new species of the aphelinid genus *Aphytis* Howard with the type specimens having "three ocelli triangularly arranged, with two smaller red ones back of these". However, according to Rosen and DeBach (1979), "Ashmead apparently mistook the pigment spots, commonly seen in dry or slide-mounted specimens of small Chalcidoidea, for supernumerary ocelli." While their assessment is likely accurate, it remains unclear whether Ashmead might have found a true malformation given that his type material for the species in question has been lost (Rosen and DeBach 1979; and sources cited therein). These two records aside, all other accounts pertain to modifications of the anterior ocellus and result either from an apparent division of the structure or for its reappearance.



Figures 1–6. *Megascolia* (*Regiscolia*) *maculata flavifrons* (Fabricius). **1–4.** Quadriocellar female from Mallorca. **1.** Habitus in lateral view. **2.** Habitus in dorsal view. **3.** Head in dorsal view. **4.** Ocellar area. **5–6.** Normal female from Ibiza. **5.** Head in dorsal view. **6.** Ocellar area. Photos: Matthias Haase.

Supernumerary ocelli have been reported from Diptera, Orthoptera, and Hymenoptera (Table 1), but since these particular deformations are not as abundant as gynandromorphs a proper name has never been established for them. Thus they appear under quite different 'labels' in the literature. It was perhaps the naturalist explorer Henry Walter Bates (1825–1892) who first recorded an observation of supernumerary ocelli, which he dubbed a "twin ocellus" (Bates 1863; the same term was used later by Brent 1886). Other authors described this unusu-

Table 1. List of recorded aberrant insect specimens with supernumerary ocelli from nature. Records are for each kind of aberration within a sex for a given species or subspecies. Thus, records of multiple or additional individuals with an identical teratology for a given sex and species are combined (citations for the individual accounts provided), while different teratologies for a species are listed individually. The numbering system for ocellar counts is formatted as: total # of ocelli (# of anterior ocelli + # of lateral ocelli) typical # of ocelli. All formicid records were for the worker caste (note that for some of the myrmecines listed the worker may have a normally reduced number of ocelli when compared with the gyne and so the total number listed is for the caste reported, and even major and minor workers may differ in their total number of ocelli). Generic and specific names have been updated to their current classification.

Order	Family	Species/subspecies	# of ocelli	Sex	References
Hymenoptera	Aphelinidae	*Aphytis flavus* (Ashmead) ‡	5 (1+2/2) 3	♀	Ashmead 1880
	Formicidae	*Acromyrmex coronatus* (Fab.)	2 (2+0) 0	♀	Weber 1947
		Atta cephalotes (L.) §	2 (2+0) 3	♀	Bates 1863, Wheeler 1936, Weber 1947
			3 (2+1) 3	♀	Wheeler 1936
			4 (2+2) 3	♀	Wheeler 1936, Weber 1947
		Atta laevigata (Smith)	4 (2+2) 3	♀	Weber 1947
		Atta sp.	2 (2+0) ?	♀	Brent 1886
		Cephalotes atratus (L.)	4 (2+2) 3	♀	Wheeler 1936
		Carebara diversus laotinus (Santschi)	2 (2+0) 1	♀	Wheeler 1936
	Halictidae	*Caenaugochlora inermis* (Vachal) |	5 (1+2/2) 3	♀	Engel et al. 2014
	Scoliidae	*Megascolia maculata flavifrons* (Fab.)	4 (2+2) 3	♀	Herein
	Tenthredinidae	*Tenthredo semirubra* (Norton)	4 (2+2) 3	♂	Smulyan 1923
		Hemichroa crocea (Geoffrey)	4 (2+2) 3	♀	Moller 1975
Orthoptera	Acrididae	*Melanoplus differentialis* (Thomas)	4 (2+2) 3	–	Glasgow 1925
		Melanoplus d. differentialis (Thomas)	4 (2+2) 3	♂	Slifer 1960
		Melanoplus d. nigricans Cockerell	4 (2+2) 3	♀/♂	King and Slifer 1965
		Melanoplus femurrubrum (DeGeer)	4 (2+2) 3	–	Blackman 1912
Diptera	Calliphoridae	*Calliphora grahami* Aldrich	4 (2+2) 3	♂	Hori et al. 1967
	Drosophilidae †	*Drosophila melanogaster* Meigen	4 (2+2) 3	–	Waddington et al. 1942, Baker et al. 1985

† The records of supernumerary ocelli in specimens of *Drosophila* Fallén are based on laboratory manipulations and not wild forms. Thus, we made no attempts for an exhaustive literature search for this genus and only report a couple here as examples.

‡ As discussed in the text, the supernumerary ocelli described by Ashmead (1880) are likely misinterpreted pigment spots (Rosen and DeBach 1979).

§ Weber (1947, footnote) discussed the taxonomic affinities of the specimens reported in Bates (1863). The following subspecies of *Atta* reported by Wheeler (1936) and Weber (1947), *Atta cephalotes integrior*, *A. c. isthmicola*, and *A. c. opaca*, are synonyms of *Atta cephalotes* (Borgmeier 1959). However, the subspecies "*Atta cephalotes gorgo*" (in Wheeler 1936), seems to be unavailable as the paper Wheeler alludes to for its formal description never appeared and the name was not treated by Borgmeier (1959) (Wheeler died in 1937 of a sudden heart attack and likely never had the opportunity to complete his work).

| This is the only validated record of a wild form where the lateral ocelli are affected, in all other listed records it is the median ocellus.

al development as either "supernumerary median ocelli" (Blackman 1912), "four [dorsal] ocelli" (Smulyan 1923, Glasgow 1925, Hori et al. 1967), "para-median ocelli" (Glasgow 1925), "binary anterior ocelli" (Wheeler 1936, Weber 1947), "two median ocelli" (Blackman 1912, Slifer 1960, King and Slifer 1965, Hori et al. 1967), or "abnormal median ocelli" (King and Slifer 1965). The term 'supernumerary ocelli' seems appropriate for the general class of teratologies involving the duplication of ocelli, with individual malformations dubbed by the number involved (e.g., quadriocellar, quintocellar). Once a more sizeable number of these deformations are documented a more systematic classification can be established.

A casual perusal of the list of occurrences of supernumerary ocelli (Table 1) would give the impression that some groups are more prone than others toward developing such teratologies. All records of ants with supernumerary ocelli are from the subfamily Myrmicinae, and particularly the leaf-cutting ants (Attini), whereas all recorded Orthoptera are from a single genus (*Melanoplus* Stål). While on the surface this is interesting, it perhaps reflects more the interest of those working on such groups. For example, species of *Melanoplus* are some of the most intensely studied of agricultural pests, and Weber was particularly interested in attines during the course of his myrmecological career, perhaps accounting for the fact that all of his records stem from that one tribe (Weber 1947). Indeed, even Wheeler's records stemmed largely from taxonomic work he was undertaking on the genus *Atta* Fabricius (Wheeler 1936, p. 188). Until more extensive studies into the occurrence of such teratologies are completed, it is impossible to say whether particular clades are more susceptible or not. In order to get an idea of the relative abundance of such malformed specimens we contacted several colleagues and asked for similar observations in their respective

groups of Hymenoptera: Stephan Blank, Symphyta; Andrew Polaszek, Platygastroidea and Chalcidoidea; Heinrich Wolf, general Aculeata; Celso O. Azevedo, Bethylidae; Denis J. Brothers, Mutillidae, Bradynobaenidae, Plumariidae, and Scolebythidae; James M. Carpenter, Vespidae; James P. Pitts, Mutillidae and Pompilidae; Lynn S. Kimsey, Tiphiidae, Chrysididae, and apoid wasps; Michael Ohl, apoid wasps; Fritz Gusenleitner, Andrenidae. Since none had come across a similar malformation, we assume that the low number of published records generally reflects the rarity of this kind of teratology in Hymenoptera.

The presence of supernumerary ocelli is almost certainly not the result of mutation, and therefore not present in the genetic makeup of the individual or heritable, instead resulting from errors in the developmental process and formation of adult tissues. That said, for at least one report in *Melanoplus*, King and Slifer (1965) found that their quadriocellar individuals successfully reproduced and that a "large proportion" of the offspring were similarly quadriocellar in condition. This suggests that for some cases there might be a genetic component, although the general rarity of supernumerary ocelli in other groups tends to suggest that such apparent heritability is far from the norm. What is remarkable is that these aberrant individuals are often captured while carrying on otherwise seemingly normal lives, a particularly remarkable fact given that ocelli are implicated in light responses and orientation (e.g., Taylor 1981a, 1981b, Schuppe and Hengstenberg 1993, Warrant 2006, Berry et al. 2006, Viollet and Zeil 2013). The scoliid wasp reported herein was perfectly developed in all other respects, and it does not seem that it had to deal with negative effects caused by the supernumerary ocellus. The consequences of malformations such as this are hardly predictable given that a complete understanding of ocellar function remains elusive (e.g., Wilson 1978, Goodman 1981, Stange et al. 2002).

Investigating the supernumerary median ocelli one is tempted to interpret such a malformation as an individual evolutionary throwback considering the hypothesis on the evolution of the median ocellus in insects. Snodgrass (1935) surmised that the median ocellus was formed by the fusion of two primitive anterior ocelli, and according to Paulus (1979) the anterior ocellus is homologous to the anterior pair of *Nauplius* eyes in Crustacea and the pair of median ocelli in *Limulus*. Indeed, the fusion hypothesis of Snodgrass has considerable support (Mizunami 1994), and in various groups the paired origin remains visible during ontogeny (e.g., Patten 1887, Viallanes 1887, Mobbs 1979). Even in adults the median ocellus retains its paired innervation, while the lateral ocelli are singly innervated (e.g., Leydig 1864, Hesse 1901, Imms 1948). It seems plausible that due to some unknown disruption during development the fusion has failed in those individuals with two anterior ocelli. However, it is quite obvious that significantly more information is needed, including extensive documentation of further occurrences of supernumerary ocelli. It is hoped that this account will spur entomologists to pay greater attention to the occurrence of such teratologies and to put them on record. The scarcity of literature reports of aberrant insect specimens assuredly does not reflect their immense diversity nor their abundance in natural history collections.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Detlef Pawelek (Bremen) for donating the scoliid wasp to the UMB, Laura C.V. Breitkreuz (Lawrence), Stefanie Krause (Berlin), Stephan Blank (Müncheberg), Tony Irwin (Norfolk), Andrew Polaszek (London), and Joachim Ziegler (Berlin) for providing us with literature, and Matthias Haase (Bremen) for providing the photographs. Ralf Höfel (Keyence International) kindly allowed use of the Keyence VHX 5000 during a two-day microscope demonstration. Finally, we would like to thank the two reviewers, Lynn S. Kimsey and Denis J. Brothers, for their valuable comments on the manuscript. This is a contribution of the Division of Entomology, University of Kansas Natural History Museum.

References

Aguiar AP, Deans AR, Engel MS, Forshage M, Huber JT, Jennings JT, Johnson NF, Lelej AS, Longino JT, Lohrmann V, Mikó I, Ohl M, Rasmussen C, Taeger A, Yu DSK (2013) Order Hymenoptera. Zootaxa 3703(1): 51–62. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3703.1.12

Ashmead WH (1880) Orange Insects; A Treatise on the Injurious and Beneficial Insects Found on the Orange Trees of Florida. Ashmead Brothers, Jacksonville, FL, 78 pp.

Asiain J, Márquez J (2009) New teratological examples in Neotropical Staphylinidae (Insecta: Coleoptera), with a compilation of previous teratological records. Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad 80(1): 129–139. http://www.ejournal.unam.mx/bio/BIO80-01/BIO080000115.pdf

Baker WK, Marcey DJ, McElwain MC (1985) On the development of ectopic eyes in *Drosophila melanogaster* produced by the mutation extra eye (ee). Genetics 111(1): 67–88. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1202599/pdf/67.pdf

Balazuc J (1958) La tératologie des Hyménoptéroïdes. Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 127: 167–203.

Banerjee SK, Kevan DKMcE (1959) A supernumerary compound eye in the grasshopper *Eyprepocnemis plorans ornatipes* (Walker, 1870) (Orthoptera: Acrididae). Canadian Entomologist 91(7): 399–401. doi: 10.4039/Ent91399-7

Bates HW (1863) The Naturalist on the River Amazons, a Record of Adventures, Habits of Animals, Sketches of Brazilian and Indian Life, and Aspects of Nature under the Equator, during Eleven Years of Travel [2 Volumes]. John Murray, London, 351 pp. doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.21335

Berry R, Stange G, Olberg R, van Kleef J (2006) The mapping of visual space by identified large second-order neurons in the dragonfly median ocellus. Journal of Comparative Physiology, A, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral Physiology 192(10): 1105–1123. doi: 10.1007/s00359-006-0142-5

Blackman MW (1912) On a supernumerary median ocellus in *Melanoplus femur-rubrum*. Psyche 19(3): 92–96. doi: 10.1155/1912/87508

Betrem JG (1971) The African Campsomerinae (Hymenoptera, Scoliidae). Monografieën van de Nederlandse Entomologische Vereniging 6: 1–326.

Borgmeier T (1959) Revision der Gattung *Atta* Fabricius (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). Studia Entomologica 2(1/4): 321–390. http://www.antwiki.org/wiki/images/4/4c/Borgmeier_1959b.pdf

Brent C (1886) Notes on the Oecodomas, or leaf-cutting ants, of Trinidad. The American Naturalist 20(2): 123–131. doi: 10.1086/274161

Cockayne EA (1937) Insect teratology, reduplication of legs in Coleoptera, Diptera, and Hymenoptera. Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London 86(11): 191–200. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2311.1937.tb00424.x

Cockayne EA (1938) Supernumerary antennae in insects. Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London 87(16): 385–396. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2311.1938.tb00722.x

Dalla Torre KW von, Friese H (1899) Die hermaphroditen und gynandromorphen Hymenopteren. Bericht des Naturwissenschaftlich-Medizinischen Vereins Innsbruck 24: 1–96.

De Romand B (1835) Sur une *Scolia 6-maculata*, Fab., ayant, extérieurement, les signes distinctifs des deux sexes. Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 4: 191–192.

Engel MS (2007) A lateral gynandromorph in the bee genus *Thyreus* and the sting mechanism in the Melectini (Hymenoptera: Apidae). American Museum Novitates 3553: 1–11. doi: 10.1206/0003-0082(2007)530[1:algitb]2.0.co;2

Engel MS, Hinojosa-Díaz IA, Sagot P, Mérida J, Ayala R (2014) A pentocellar female of *Caenaugochlora inermis* from southern Mexico (Hymenoptera: Halictidae). Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 87(4): 392–394. doi: 10.2317/JKES130907.1

Fabricius JC (1775) Systema Entomologiae: Sistens Insectorum Classes, Ordines, Genera, Species, Adiectis Synonymis, Locis, Descriptionibus, Observationibus. Libraria Kortii, Flensburgi et Lipsiae [Leipzig], 832 pp.

Glasgow RD (1925) A specimen of *Melanoplus differentialis* Thomas with four ocelli. Psyche 32 (6): 285–290. doi: 10.1155/1925/49518

Goodman LJ (1981) Organization and physiology of the insect dorsal ocellar system. In: Autrum H (Ed.) Handbook of Sensory Physiology 7(6C): 27–48.

Grimaldi D, Engel MS (2007) Why descriptive science still matters. BioScience 57(8): 646–647. doi: 10.1641/B570802

Hesse R (1901) Untersuchungen über die Organe der Lichtempfindung bei niederen Thieren. VII. Von den Arthropoden-Augen. Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Zoologie 70(3): 347–473.

Hinojosa-Díaz IA, Gonzalez VH, Ayala R, Mérida J, Sagot P, Engel MS (2012) New orchid and leaf-cutter bee gynandromorphs, with an updated review (Hymenoptera, Apoidea). Zoosystematics and Evolution 88(2): 205–214. doi: 10.1002/zoos.201200017

Hori K, Kurahashi H, Kato Y (1967) A specimen of *Calliphora grahami* with four dorsal ocelli. Kontyû 35(2): 117–118.

Imms AD (1948) A General Textbook of Entomology, including the Anatomy, Physiology, Development and Classification of Insects [7th Edition]. Dutton, New York, 727 pp.

King RL, Slifer EH (1965) Abnormal median ocelli in grasshoppers. Journal of Heredity 56(1): 7–10. http://jhered.oxfordjournals.org/content/56/1/7.extract

Krombein KV (1949) Two new gynandromorphs, with a list of previously recorded sexual aberrations in the scolioid wasps. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 100(3257): 55–59. doi: 10.5479/si.00963801.100-3257.55

Leydig F (1864) Das Auge der Gliederthiere: Neue Untersuchungen zur Kenntniss dieses Organs. Laupp'sche Buchhandlung, Tübingen, 50 pp.

Michener CD (1944) A comparative study of the appendages of the eighth and ninth abdominal segments of insects. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 37(3): 336–351. doi: 10.1093/aesa/37.3.336

Michez D, Rasmont P, Terzo M, Vereecken NJ (2009) A synthesis of gynandromorphy among wild bees (Hymenoptera: Apoidea), with an annotated description of several new cases. Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 45(3): 365–375. doi: 10.1080/00379271.2009.10697621

Mizunami M (1994) Information processing in the insect ocellar system: Comparative approaches to the evolution of visual processing and neural circuits. Advances in Insect Physiology 25: 151–265. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2806(08)60065-X

Mobbs PG (1979) Development of the dorsal ocelli of the desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria* Forsk. (Orthoptera: Acrididae). International Journal of Insect Morphology and Embryology 8(5/6): 237–255. doi: 10.1016/0020-7322(79)90033-3

Moller GJ (1975) A list of Irish sawflies (Hymenoptera: Symphyta) in the Ulster Museum: Including a new Irish record and a note on a teratological specimen of *Hemichroa* Steph. The Irish Naturalists' Journal 18(5): 133–136. http://www.jstor.org/stable/25537789

Nichols SW (1989) The Torre-Bueno Glossary of Entomology [Revised edition], including Supplement A by George S. Tulloch. New York Entomological Society, New York, 840 pp.

Osten T (1993) Zwitter von *Micromeriella aureola* (Klug 1832) (Hym. Scoliidae). Linzer biologische Beiträge 25(2): 1013–1014. http://www.landesmuseum.at/pdf_frei_baende/LBB_1993_25_2.pdf

Osten T (2000) Die Scoliiden des Mittelmeer-Gebietes und angrenzender Regionen (Hymenoptera). Ein Bestimmungsschlüssel. Linzer biologische Beiträge 32(2): 537–593. http://www.landesmuseum.at/pdf_frei_remote/LBB_0032_2_0537-0593.pdf

Patten W (1887) Studies on the eyes of arthropods. I. Development of the eyes of *Vespa* with observations on the ocelli of some insects. Journal of Morphology 1(1): 193–226.

Paulus HF (1979) Eye structure and the monophyly of the Arthropoda. In: Gupta AP (Ed.) Arthropod Phylogeny. van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 299–383.

Popovici OA, Mitroiu MD, Notton DG (2014) New teratological cases in Platygastridae and Pteromalidae (Hymenoptera). Turkish Journal of Zoology 38(4): 491–499. doi: 10.3906/zoo-1312-30

Rosen D, DeBach P (1979) Species of *Aphytis* of the world (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Series Entomologica 17: 1–801. doi: 10.1007/978-94-009-9603-8

Schuppe H, Hengstenberg R (1993) Optical properties of the ocelli of *Calliphora erythrocephala* and their role in the dorsal light response. Journal of Comparative Physiology, A, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral Physiology 173(2): 143–149. doi: 10.1007/BF00192973

Slifer EH (1960) An abnormal grasshopper with two median ocelli (Orthoptera, Acrididae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 53(3): 441–442. doi: 10.1093/aesa/53.3.441

Smulyan MT (1923) New England sawflies of the genus *Tenthredella* Rohwer. Proceedings of the Boston Society of Natural History 36(6): 383–465.

Snodgrass RE (1935) Principles of Insect Morphology. McGraw-Hill, New York, 667 pp.

Stange G, Stowe S, Chahl J, Massaro A (2002) Anisotropic imaging in the dragonfly median ocellus: A matched filter for horizon detection. Journal of Comparative Physiology, A, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral Physiology 188(6): 455–467. doi: 10.1007/s00359-002-0317-7

Taylor CP (1981a) Contribution of compound eyes and ocelli to steering of locusts in flight: I. Behavioural analysis. Journal of Experimental Biology 93(1): 1–18. http://jeb.biologists.org/content/93/1/1.full.pdf

Taylor CP (1981b) Contribution of compound eyes and ocelli to steering of locusts in flight: II. Timing changes in flight motor units. Journal of Experimental Biology 93(1): 19–31. http://jeb.biologists.org/content/93/1/19.full.pdf

Viallanes H (1887) Étude histologiques et organologiques sur les centres nerveux et les organes des sens des animaux articulés. Quatrième mémoire. Le cerveau de la guêpe (*Vespa crabro* et *Vespa vulgaris*). Annales des Sciences Naturelles, Zoologie et Paléontologie, Série 7 2: 1–100.

Viollet S, Zeil J (2013) Feed-forward and visual feedback control of head roll orientation in wasps (*Polistes humilis*, Vespidae, Hymenoptera). Journal of Experimental Biology 216(7): 1280–1291. http://jeb.biologists.org/content/216/7/1280.full.pdf

Waddington CH (1942) Some developmental effects of X-rays in *Drosophila*. Journal of Experimental Biology 19(2): 101–117. http://jeb.biologists.org/content/19/2/101.full.pdf

Warrant EJ (2006) Invertebrate vision in dim light. In: Warrant EJ, Nilsson D-E (Eds) Invertebrate Vision. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 83–126.

Wcislo WT, Gonzalez VH, Arneson L (2004) A review of deviant phenotypes in bees in relation to brood parasitism, and a gynandromorph of *Megalopta genalis* (Hymenoptera: Halictidae). Journal of Natural History 38(11): 1443–1457.

Weber NA (1947) Binary anterior ocelli in ants. The Biological Bulletin 93(2): 112–113. http://www.biolbull.org/content/93/2/112.full.pdf

Wheeler WM (1936) Binary anterior ocelli in ants. The Biological Bulletin 70(2): 185–192. http://cro.ots.ac.cr/rdmcnfs/datasets/biblioteca/pdfs/nbina-9419.pdf

Wilson M (1978) The functional organization of locust ocelli. Journal of Comparative Physiology, A, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral Physiology 124(4): 297–316. doi: 10.1007/BF00661380

Wolf H (1989) Zwitter von *Campsomeriella thoracica* (Fabricius) (Hym., Scoliidae) und *Priocnemis gracilis* Haupt (Hym., Pompilidae). Linzer biologische Beiträge 21(2): 523–526. http://www.landesmuseum.at/pdf_frei_remote/LBB_0021_1_2_0523-0526.pdf